RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 4 2008

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg Application Filed: September 30, 2003 Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

## **Listing of Claims:**

- 1. (currently amended) A method of automatically correcting dust artifact within images acquired by a system including a digital camera, comprising:
- (a) determining probability of dust artifact regions corresponding to pixels within a digitally-acquired image based at least in part on a pixel analysis of the region in view of predetermined characteristics indicative of the presence of a dust artifact region;
- (b) associating the dust artifact regions with one or more extracted parameters relating to the optical system when the image was acquired;
- (c) forming a statistical dust map including mapped dust regions based on the dust artifact determining and associating operations; and
- (d) correcting digital data corresponding to correlated dust artifact regions within said digitally-acquired image based on the associated statistical dust map, and
  - (e) wherein the dust artifact determining includes:
    - (I) loading the statistical dust map;
    - (II) loading extracted parameter information of a present image;
  - (III) performing a calculation for relating the statistical dust map with the present image according to a selected value of at least one extracted parameter; and
  - (IV) comparing dust artifact detection data with the statistical dust map data.
- 2. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising eliminating certain suspected dust artifact regions as having a probability below a first threshold value.
- 3. (original) The method of claim 2, further comprising judging certain further dust artifact regions as having a probability above said threshold value, such as to be subject to further

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Stelnberg Application Filed: September 30, 2003 Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

probability determining including comparison with further acquired images prior to judging whether each said further dust artifact region will be subject to said eliminating operation.

- 4. (original) The method of claim 2, further comprising judging certain probable dust artifact regions as having a probability above a second threshold value such as to be subject to said correcting operation.
- 5. (original) The method of claim 4, wherein said first and second threshold values are different.
- 6. (original) The method of claim 5, further comprising judging certain further dust artifact regions as having a probability between said first and said second threshold values, such as to be subject to further probability determining including comparison with further acquired images prior to judging whether each said further dust artifact region will be subject to said correcting operation.
- 7. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising judging certain probable dust artifact regions as having a probability above a threshold value such as to be subject to said correcting operation.
- 8. (original) The method of claim 7, further comprising judging certain further dust artifact regions as having a probability below said threshold value, such as to be subject to further probability determining including comparison with further acquired images prior to judging whether each said further dust artifact region will be subject to said correcting operation.
- 9. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said probability determining includes weighting suspected dust artifact regions according to one or more predetermined probability weighting assessment conditions.

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg Application Filed: September 30, 2003 Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

10. (original) The method of claim 9, said one or more weighting assessment conditions comprising size, shape, brightness or opacity of said suspected dust artifact regions, or degree of similarity in size, shape, brightness, opacity or location with one or more suspected dust artifact regions in one or more other images, or combinations thereof.

11. (original) The method of claim 1, said one or more extracted parameters comprising aperture size, F-number, magnification, lens type or focal length of an optical system of the digital camera, or combinations thereof.

12. (original) The method of claim 11, said one or more extracted parameters are calculated empirically from comparison of one or more said dust artifact regions within said multiple original digital images with said digital acquisition device.

13. (original) The method of claim 1, said one or more extracted parameters comprising aperture size or focal length or both.

14. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said further digitally-acquired images comprising different images than said originally acquired images.

15. (original) The method of claim 14, said different images having been acquired of different objects.

16. (original) The method of claim 14, wherein said different images are acquired of different scenes.

17. (original) The method of claim 14, said different images having been acquired with different values of said one or more extracted parameters.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 4 2008

- 18. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising correcting pixels corresponding to correlated dust artifact regions within each of said original images based on the associated statistical dust map.
- 19. (original) The method of claim 17, said one or more extracted parameters comprising aperture size, F-number, magnification, lens type or focal length of an optical system of the digital camera, or combinations thereof.
- 20. (original) The method of claim 17, said one or more extracted parameters comprising aperture size or focal length or both.
- 21. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising correcting pixels corresponding to correlated dust artifact regions within said original images based on the associated statistical dust map.
- 22. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising digitally-acquiring additional images with said digital camera, repeating said determining and associating, and updating said statistical dust map including updating said mapped dust regions based on the additional dust artifact determining and associating.
- 23. (original) The method of claim 21, further comprising correcting pixels corresponding to correlated dust artifact regions within said additional images based on the updated, associated statistical dust map.
- 24. (original) The method of claim 21, further comprising updating one or more of said further and original images based on said updating of said associated statistical dust map.

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg

Application Filed: September 30, 2003

Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

25. (original) The method of claim 21, further comprising limiting updating one or more of said

further and original images based on said updating of said associated statistical dust map to

updates that do not include appearance of new dust or movement of existing dust.

26. (original) The method of claim 21, further comprising limiting updating one or more of said

further and original images based on said updating of said associated statistical dust map to

updates that include previously determined dust artifact regions.

27. (original) The method of claim 21, further comprising creating a version description of

changes in said statistical dust map.

28. (original) The method of claim 21, wherein said version is based on a chronological time

stamp.

29. (original) The method of claim 21, wherein said version is based on replacement of lens.

30. (original) The method of claim 21, wherein said version information comprises change of

said probabilities in said statistical dust map that certain pixels correspond to dust artifact

regions.

31. (original) The method of claim 21, wherein said version information includes one or more

parameters comprising of change in dust location, change in dust position, appearance of new

dust region, disappearance of existing dust region.

32. (original) The method of claim 21, wherein further comprising determining whether dust

map needs to be replaced based on determining that sufficient disparity exists based amount and

quality of said changes in said statistical dust map.

Page 6 of 16

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg

Application Filed: September 30, 2003 Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

33. (original) The method of claim 31, further comprising updating one or more of said further

and original images based on said updating of said associated statistical dust map based on said

version description.

34. (original) The method of claim 1, said image correction method being automatically

performed within a digital camera that comprises said optical system, said sensor array, said

processing electronics and said memory.

35. (original) The method of claim 1, said image correction method being performed at least in

part within an external processing device that couples with a digital camera that comprises said

optical system and said sensor array to form a digital image acquisition and processing system

that also comprises said processing electronics and said memory.

36. (original) The method of claim 34, the programming instructions being stored on a memory

within the external device which performs the image correction method.

37. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes dynamically updating

said probabilities based on comparisons with suspected equivalent dust artifact regions within

said further digitally-acquired images.

38. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said forming includes dynamically updating said

statistical dust map based on further pixel analyses of suspected dust artifact regions in further

images in view of predetermined characteristics indicative of the presence of a dust artifact

region.

39. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said suspected dust artifact regions of said at least

two images comprise inner regions and aura regions, and wherein said comparison comprises a

first comparison of said inner regions and a second comparison of said aura regions.

Page 7 of 16

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 4 2008

- 40. (original) The method of claim 38, wherein said determining further comprises statistically combining probabilities based on said each of said comparisons of said inner regions and of said aura regions.
- 41. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said determining includes dynamically updating said probabilities based on comparisons with suspected equivalent dust artifact regions within said further digitally-acquired images.
- 42. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said determining probabilities further comprises statistically combining an plurality of individual probabilities based on each said regions within two or more said images.
- 43. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising determining probabilities that certain pixels correspond to regions free of dust within said images based at least in part on a comparison of suspected dust artifact regions within one or more of said images.
- 44. (original) The method of claim 42, further comprising eliminating certain suspected dust artifact based on probabilities that certain pixels correspond to regions free of dust
- 45. (original) The method of claim 1, further comprising validating whether said further digitally-acquired image has non contradicting data that said probability that certain pixels correspond to dust artifact regions within said further digitally-acquired image priori to correcting pixels corresponding to correlated dust artifact regions within further digitally-acquired images based on the associated statistical dust map.
- 46. (original) The method of claim 44, further comprising instructing the user to create a new said dust map if said validating determines a noticeable change in the dust map.

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg

Application Filed: September 30, 2003

Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

47. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said dust artifact regions of said digitally-acquired

images are determined to comprise shadow regions and aura regions.

48. (original) The method of claim 46, said focal length extracted parameters are calculated

empirically from comparison of the transposition of said shadow regions of said dust artifact

regions within multiple digital images acquired with said digital acquisition device.

49. (original) The method of claim 46, said aperture extracted parameters are calculated

empirically from comparison of a fall off of said aura regions of said dust artifact regions within

multiple digital images acquired with said digital acquisition device.

50. (original) The method of claim 46, wherein said correcting comprises a first correcting of

said aura regions and a second correcting of said shadow regions.

51. (original) The method of claim 46, said determining with respect to a shadow region being

based on an extracted parameter-dependent shadow region analysis, wherein the shadow region

analysis presumes that certain regions on a sensor of the digital image acquisition device are

fully obscured by said dust.

52. (original) The method of claim 50, wherein the shadow region analysis includes calculating

effects of differences in values of the one or more extracted parameters in different images on

dust artifact illumination, shape, position, reflection or transmission properties, distance of dust

to the sensor, aperture, exit pupil, or focal length, or combinations thereof.

53. (original) The method of claim 51, wherein said different images are acquired with different

values of said one or more extracted parameters.

Page 9 of 16

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg Application Filed: September 30, 2003

Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

54. (original) The method of claim 51, wherein said different images are acquired of different

objects.

55. (original) The method of claim 51, wherein said different images are acquired of different

scenes.

56. (original) The method of claim 46, said determining with respect to an aura region being

based on an extracted parameter-dependent aura region analysis, wherein the aura region

analysis presumes that certain regions on a of the digital image acquisition device are partially

obscured by said dust.

57. (original) The method of claim 55, wherein said aura region analysis includes calculating

effects of differences in values of the one or more extracted parameters in different images on

dust artifact illumination, shape, position, reflection or transmission properties, distance of dust

to the sensor, aperture, exit pupil, or focal length, or combinations thereof.

58. (original) The method of claim 56, wherein said different images are acquired with different

values of said one or more extracted parameters.

59. (original) The method of claim 56, wherein said different images are acquired of different

objects.

60. (original) The method of claim 46, the correcting operation comprising in-painting or

restoration, or both.

61. (original) The method of claim 59, said correcting including in-painting the shadow region.

. Page 10 of 16

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg Application Filed: September 30, 2003

Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

62. (original) The method of claim 60, said in-painting including determining and applying shadow region correction spectral information based on spectral information obtained from

pixels outside said shadow region.

63. (original) The method of claim 59, said correcting including restoration of the aura region.

64. (original) The method of claim 62, said restoration including determining and applying aura

region correction spectral information based on spectral information obtained from pixels within

said aura region.

65. (original) The method of claim 1, the method being performed on raw image data as

captured by a camera sensor.

66. (original) The method of claim 1, said image correction method being performed on a

processed image after being converted from raw format to a known red, green, blue

representation.

67. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said correcting includes replacing said pixels

within said one or more digitally-acquired images with new pixels.

68. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein said correcting includes enhancing said values of

pixels within said one or more digitally-acquired images.

69. (original) The method of claim 1, wherein correcting instructions are kept in an external

location to the image data.

70. (original) The method of claim 1, said external location comprising an image header.

Page 11 of 16

- 71. (original) The method of claim 1, the dust artifact determining operation including:
  - (I) loading the statistical dust map;
  - (II) loading extracted parameter information of a present image;
- (III) performing calculations within the statistical dust map having extracted parameter variable-dependencies; and
- (IV) comparing dust artifact detection data with the extracted parameter dependent statistical dust map data.
- 72. (original) The method of claim 70, the extracted parameter information including values of aperture size and focal length.
- 73. (original) The method of claim 71, the extracted parameter information further including lens type information.
- 74. (currently amended) The method of claim 1, the dust artifact determining operation including:
- (I) loading the statistical dust map;

- (IV) comparing dust artifact detection data with the new correlated statistical dust map data. wherein for the performing, the at least one extracted parameter is otherwise uncorrelated between the present image and the dust map
- 75. (original) The method of claim 1, said dust artifact regions including an aura region partially obscured by dust and a shadow region at least substantially obscured by dust inside said aura region.

Application No. 10/677,140 Inventors: Eran Steinberg

Application Filed: September 30, 2003

Response to Office Action mailed June 14, 2007

76. (original) The method of claim 74, said determining with respect to a shadow region being

based on an extracted parameter-dependent shadow region analysis, wherein the shadow region

analysis presumes that certain regions on a sensor of the digital image acquisition device are

fully obscured by said dust.

77. (original) The method of claim 75, wherein the shadow region analysis includes calculating

effects of differences in values of the one or more extracted parameters in different images on

dust artifact illumination, shape, position, reflection or transmission properties, distance of dust

to the sensor, aperture, exit pupil, or focal length, or combinations thereof.

78. (original) The method of claim 76, said different images having been acquired of different

objects.

79. (original) The method of claim 76, wherein said different images are acquired of different

scene.

80. (original) The method of claim 74, said determining with respect to an aura region being

based on an extracted parameter-dependent aura region analysis, wherein the aura region

analysis presumes that certain regions on a of the digital image acquisition device are partially

obscured by said dust.

81. (original) The method of claim 79, wherein said aura region analysis includes calculating

effects of differences in values of the one or more extracted parameters in different images on

dust artifact illumination, shape, position, reflection or transmission properties, distance of dust

to the sensor, aperture, exit pupil, or focal length, or combinations thereof.

Page 13 of 16

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 4 2008

- 82. (original) The method of claim 80, said different images having been acquired of different objects.
- 83. (original) The method of claim 74, the correcting operation comprising in-painting or restoration, or both.
- 84. (original) The method of claim 82, said correcting including in-painting the shadow region.
- 85. (original) The method of claim 83, said in-painting including determining and applying shadow region correction spectral information based on spectral information obtained from pixels outside said shadow region.
- 86. (original) The method of claim 82, said correcting including restoration of the aura region.
- 87. (original) The method of claim 85, said restoration including determining and applying aura region correction spectral information based on spectral information obtained from pixels within said aura region.
- 88. (original) The method of claim 73, wherein for the comparing, said dust artifact detection data is now correlated with the statistical dust map data.